

Subject: Re: CPRA request (SP610:2017-07-12.a)

From: Public Records Request <publicrecordsrequest@southpark.la>

Date: 07/21/2017 03:06 PM

To: [REDACTED]

In response to your CPRA request for a signed written contract between the SDO and Devine Strategies, I have determined there is no signed written contract.

Public Records Request

South Park SDO

1180 S. Flower St., Suite #1600, Los Angeles, CA 90015

o. 213 863 1212

On 7/21/17, 12:26 PM, [REDACTED] writes:

Devine Strategies is handling the removal of the SP610s and there's no contract? I don't understand how that's possible. You all are paying \$5 money for services without a contract in violation of generally accepted accounting principles? I don't understand. Can you please, as you're legally obligated to do, help me to understand how to ask you for a copy of the agreement or agreements under which the SDO is paying anyone for removal consulting?

If this is some word game about who has physical possession of the records, which is suggested by your "on file at the SDO" phraseology, it doesn't matter if there's no physical copy at the SDO since obviously the SDO has constructive possession of \$5's copy. Furthermore, the CPRA includes records "used" by the SDO among public records, and contracts between the SDO and anyone are "used" by the SDO. So if that's what you're talking about you have a clear duty under the law to get a copy or copies from \$5 and let me look at them. You might also note that the CPRA forbids you from letting a third party, like e.g. \$5, control my access to records.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]
On Fri, Jul 20, 2017, at 12:26 PM, Public Records Request wrote:
> There is no "removal consulting contract or contracts" on-file at the
> SDO, as previously stated, you are free to make a request to Devine
> Strategies.

>

>

> Public Records Request

> South Park SDO

> 1180 S. Flower St., Suite #1600, Los Angeles, CA 90015

> o. 213 863 1212

>

> On 7/21/17, 12:06 PM, [REDACTED] writes:

>

>

> How is it possible that there's no contract for removal consulting?
> Will you either send the removal consulting contract or contracts or,
> alternatively, confirm explicitly that the SDO paid \$5 for removal
> consulting without having the firm under contract?

>

> thanks,

>